

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

| (1) MARK P. STANLEY. | (3)RANDY PALMER |
|----------------------|---|
| (1) MINISTER (1) | (0) <u>101110111111111111111111111111111111</u> |

(2) SCOTT BELIVEAU.

(4)_____

Date of Interview: 10 August 2009.

Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-40.

Identification of prior art discussed: Not applicable.

Agreement with respect to the claims fi⊠ was reached. gi∏ was not reached. hi∏ N/A.

Substance of Interview Including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Subsessed Application Learnessed amongs for the Interview of the 101 and 112 cited for a formation of the Interview of I</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 73.04), If an apply the last Office action has already been flied, APPLICAT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS FROM THE CONTRACT OF THE MUST GATE OF THE THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS THE OFFICE OF THE MUST GATE OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT

/Mark P Stanley/ Examiner, Art Unit 2427 /Scott Belivears/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427